



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,406	08/27/2003	Jong Moon Lee	51876P384	6551
8791	7590	05/04/2005	EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			CAO, HUEDUNG X	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2821	

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/650,406

Applicant(s)

LEE ET AL.

Examiner

Huedung X. Cao

Art Unit

2821

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 March 2005.
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) 4-5 is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: "figure 1B, element 14; and figure 2B, element 26". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by OBERSCHMIDT et al. (USP 6507321 B2).

As per claim 1, Oberschmidt teaches "a broadband slot antenna", comprising:

"a dielectric layer under which microstrip feedline is formed" (Oberschmidt, figure 3, substrate 1 and feedline 4, and column 5, lines 1-5);

"ground formed on the dielectric layer and coupled with the microstrip feedline electromagnetically through a slot" (Oberschmidt, figure 3, metallic layer 7, the metallic layer 7 is a conductive layer worked as a ground plane; column 3, lines 2-8); and

"reflection plane placed under the microstrip feedline and having an open part with predetermined length and depth in order to prevent board surface waves from being radiated and enhance antenna gain" (Oberschmidt, figure 3, reflector plane14, column 4, lines 35-40, and column 5, lines 18-19).

Claim 2 adds into claim 1 "area of an entrance of the slot is the same as that of a bottom of slot or area of the entrance of the slot different from that of the bottom of the slot" (Oberschmidt, column 3, line 65-column 4, line 20; the slots 2 and 3 have the area of entrance being the same as that of the bottom of slot).

Claim 3 adds into claim 1 "reflection plane is a metal resonator" (Oberschmidt, figure 3, reflector plane14, and column 5, lines 13-14).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 4-5 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claim 4, Oberschmidt et al. (USP 6507321 B2) discloses a broadband slot antenna, comprising: a dielectric layer under which microstrip feedline is formed; ground formed on the dielectric layer and coupled with the microstrip antenna electromagnetically through a slot; and reflection plane placed under the microstrip feedline in order to prevent board surface waves from being radiated and enhance antenna gain. Oberschmidt, however, fails to teach a baffle layer formed on the ground conductor in order to prevent mutual coupling between the slot antennas. In addition, the art does not suggest the addition of a baffle layer for preventing mutual coupling between the slot antennas of a slot array antenna.

Claim 5 is allowed for depending on claim 4.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on 03/03/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to applicant's remarks the preceding rejections have been clarified to address these points.

Specifically, on pages 7-8 of the response, applicant argues that the reference does not teach "an open part with predetermined length and depth". The examiner respectfully disagrees. Hence, Oberschmidt indicates at column 5, lines 21-24, the reflector plane's distance to the middle of the substrate with microstrip feed line on the back face is advantageously about quarter wavelength which implies Applicant's predetermined length and depth of the open part as claimed. Therefore, the 35 USC 102 rejections to claims 1-3 stands.

Art Unit: 2821

Inquires

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huedung Cao whose telephone number is (571) 272-1939.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong, can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Don Wong
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

Huedung Cao
Patent Examiner
November 29, 2004